

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 13575 of 2018

Date of Decision: May 28, 2018

Bhagwat

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Deepak Grovar, Advocate for
for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is working as a Baildar. His grievance is that while regularizing his services, his service as adhoc/daily wage have not been taken into consideration. A representation dated 08.02.2018 (Annexure P-8) has been made in this regard but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G. Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 4. Let five copies of the complete paper book be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of order being passed, there is no necessity to issue notice to respondents No. 5 to 8 at this stage, neither it is necessary to seek written statement.

The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2/respondent No. 3, to objectively consider the representation dated 08.02.2018 (Annexure P-8), in the light of the law on the subject and pass a speaking order thereupon, within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

May 28, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No