

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 18845 of 2016
Date of Decision : 26.11.2018

Surinder Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI*

Present: Mr. M.K. Arya, Advocate for
Ms. Manjit Kaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Mehardeep Singh, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is not pressing her relief for the regularization of her services. Learned counsel further states that she is entitled for CPF, gratuity and leave encashment under the Rules governing the service.

No reply has been filed so far by respondents No. 1 to 3.

The reply filed on behalf of respondent No. 4 only states that the relief is to be granted by the administrative department i.e. respondents No. 1 to 3 only.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is ready to make a representation in respect of her claim for the CPF, gratuity and leave encashment to the respondents and the respondents be directed to decide the same in a time bound manner.

In case, any representation is filed by the petitioner claiming CPF, gratuity and leave encashment within a period of four weeks from today, respondents No. 1 to 3 shall pass a speaking order to decide the same within a period of two months.

In case the petitioner is found entitled for any monetary benefit, the same should also be released in her favour within a period of next two months.

It is made clear that this Court expresses no opinion on the merits of the case or the entitlement of the petitioner in respect of the claim for which the petitioner is seeking to file a representation.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

November 26, 2018
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? *Yes/No*
Whether reportable? *Yes/No*