

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 13519 of 2018

Date of Decision: June 14, 2018

Sourabh Bhadana

.....Petitioner

versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Panwar, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate for respondent No. 2

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that during the conduct of online Common Law Admission Test (CLAT) there were interruptions of about 15 minutes, as a result of which he could attempt only 130 out of 200 questions. He, thus, prays for writ in the nature of mandamus for a direction to respondent No. 2 to conduct the examination afresh.

Identical matters were filed before the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India and the case was adjourned to today to await its decision.

Learned counsel appearing for respondent No. 2 has handed over copy of judgment dated 13.06.2018 passed in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 551 of 2018 and other connected matters wherein the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India has devised a normalisation formula for compensating the candidates for loss of time. The direction given by the Hon'ble Supreme Court would cover the case of the petitioner as well.

In view of the above, the writ petition is disposed of in terms of judgment dated 13.06.2018 passed in Writ Petition (Civil) No. 551 of 2018 and other cases.

June 14, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No