

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 13504 of 2018

Date of Decision: May 25, 2018

Rajeev Kumar Sharma

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Vinod Bhardwaj, Advocate for the petitioner

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the impugned order dated 22.05.2018 (Annexure P-5) is factually incorrect, as there are no standing instructions of the Nigam which lay down that in case an official is transferred from one circle to another circle in his own interest then he should be placed at the tail end of the seniority list.

No opinion can be expressed on this assertion and the same should be agitated before the concerned authority.

In this view of the matter, learned counsel for the petitioner wishes to withdraw this petition with liberty to move an appropriate representation against the order dated 22.05.2018 (Annexure P-5).

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to the petitioner to make an appropriate representation against the order dated 22.05.2018 (Annexure P-5). In case such a representation is made within seven days from today, the same shall be decided by the concerned authority by passing a speaking order thereupon within a further period of seven days after complying with the principles of natural justice.

Till then reversion of the petitioner is stayed.

May 25, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No