

121

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-13442-2018

Date of decision-25.05.2018

Sarabjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Manu K.Bhandari, Advocate for the petitioner.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider and appoint the petitioner as Clerk on compassionate basis on account of death of her husband on 19.02.2016 as per Instructions dated 13.08.2009 and 04.04.2011 (Annexure P-3 and P-4, respectively).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of Home Affairs and Justice, Civil Secretariat, Punjab, Sector-1, Chandigarh to consider and decide the justice demand notice dated 11.04.2018 (Annexure P-5).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1-

Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of Home Affairs and Justice, Civil Secretariat, Punjab, Sector-1, Chandigarh to consider and decide the justice demand notice dated 11.04.2018 (Annexure P-5) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to her or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

25.05.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No