

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.1342 of 2018

Date of Decision: 14.03.2018

Mukesh Chand and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Ms. Alka Chatrath, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Pay, pay structure, revised pay, grade pay and other financial disputes have been set out in this petition in the background of the Assured Career Progression Scheme in terms of the circular dated March 04, 2014 issued under the Haryana Civil Services (Assured Career Progression) Rules, 2008. The petitioners claim parity with other categories mentioned with other Sr. Nos.1, 2 and 11 in the circular revising the 3 ACP Grade Pay. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated March 25, 2017 together with the legal notice dated September 14, 2017 which have not elicited any response from the respondent-authorities.

Since the view of the State Government is not known nor an adverse order passed, therefore, there is no point in issuing notice to the State and in the first instance, it would be fit and proper that a direction should go to the respondents to consider and decide the aforesaid representation/legal notice in accordance with law in a time bound manner.

As a result, the petition is disposed of with a direction to take appropriate decisions within a period of three months from the date of

receiving certified copy of this order. If hearing is required it must be granted effectively and a speaking order passed on all the points raised in the memorials submitted to the department.

With these observations and directions, the petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

14.03.2018
manju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No