

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.13327 of 2018
Date of decision: May 24, 2018**

Anil Sharma and others ...Petitioners
Versus
Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar and others ...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr. Nitin Kant Setia, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

This petition is filed for a direction to the official respondents to remove the encroachment made by respondents no.6 and 7 over the passage between chambers 75-C, 76-C, 77-C, 78-C to 86-C, C-Block, District Courts, Amritsar.

I do not find any reason to interfere in the present petition as the petitioners have the remedy of filing the civil suit for seeking a mandatory injunction. It is really surprising as to why the petitioners, who happen to be advocates, have not filed a suit to restrain respondents no.6 and 7 when they were raising construction of their chambers on the aforesaid passage.

In any case, the petitioners, if so advised, may avail their remedy of filing the civil suit for mandatory injunction. However, the present petition is dismissed being not maintainable.

**May 24, 2018
vinod***

**(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge**

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No