

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 13285 of 2018

Date of Decision: May 24, 2018

Amit Kumar and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Ravinder Singh Dhull, Advocate for the petitioners

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioners applied for the post of Tracer advertised vide Advertisement No. 7/2015. They have not been selected. Their grievance is that the essential qualification prescribed was Matriculation or school leaving certificate examination of a recognized University or Board or its equivalent with drawing as a subject. However, candidates possessing higher qualification with drawing as a subject have been selected, although they did not possess the qualification of matriculation or school leaving certificate examination of a recognized University or Board or its equivalent with the subject of drawing.

The requirement for the post of Tracer is that the candidate must possess knowledge of the subject of drawing. If the said knowledge has been acquired by virtue of acquisition of higher qualification, it would be illogical to reject their candidature on the ground they did not possess matriculation or school leaving certificate examination of a recognized University or Board or its equivalent with drawing as a subject. So long as a candidate possess the requisite knowledge he can perform the functions of the post and, thus, there is no illegality in the procedure adopted by the respondents.

The writ petition is without any merit and the same is dismissed.

May 24, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No