

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.118

Civil Writ Petition No.13278 of 2018
DECIDED ON: May 24, 2018

MOHAN LAL

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner-Mohan Lal preferred instant civil writ petition, under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of a writ particularly in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant him higher pay scale along with consequential arrears and interest, for the post of Junior Engineer (Civil) against which he has discharged his duties for the period from 13.08.2012 to 31.08.2017 i.e. till the date of his retirement, on the basis of current duty charge, as he is duly entitled for the same as per Rule 4.13 of Punjab Civil Services Rules Volume-I Part-I, Government Instructions dated 14.12.2016 (P-15) AND to dispose of instant petition in terms of judgment dated 11.12.2017 (P-19) in CWP No.20000 of 2016 captioned as Jaswinder Pal Singh & ors. vs. Punjab State Agricultural

Civil Writ Petition No.13278 of 2018

Marketing Board & anr., in which similar facts and circumstances has been allowed by this Court.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though various representations/legal notices including latest legal notice dated 02.04.2018 (P-21) moved to the respondents but till date no final decision has been taken by the concerned authority. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the aforesaid latest legal notice (P-21) within stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 02.04.2018 (P-21) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order as per rules, regulations and instructions issued by the Government from time to time as well as judgments already referred in the legal notice (P-21), within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

May 24, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**