

235
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-13247-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision : 2.11.2018

Parmod

..... Petitioner.

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents.

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Pradeep Sehrawat, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

1. *Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporter or not.*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?*

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Petitioner were ordered to be released on parole vide order dated 16.3.2015 (Annexure P-1) endorsed on 1.4.2015 by the Commissioner, Gurgaon Division, Gurgaon. When the orders were sent to his native place i.e. Godda, Jharkhand, the authorities did not accept his bail bonds raising certain objections.

Learned counsel for the petitioner that conditions are already mentioned in the above order endorsed on 1.4.2015 (Annexure P-1).

It being so, he can always furnish the sureties in terms of the said order before the District Magistrate, Godda, Jharkhand to the satisfaction of the said District Magistrate.

It is directed that if the sureties are not accepted, the District Magistrate, Godda, Jharkhand shall pass the speaking order stating the reasons for rejecting the sureties.

Disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

2.11.2018
preeti

Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No