

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.123

Civil Writ Petition No.13178 of 2018
DECIDED ON: May 23, 2018

VINOD KUMAR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. R.K. Arora, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Certiorari quashing the impugned action of the respondents in not considering and granting due retrospective his promotion on the posts of Accountant Grade-II and thereafter as Accountant Grade-I and Executive Officer Grade III from the date his juniors, respondents No.3 & 4 have been given promotions on these posts vide orders dated 19.12.2017 (P-4) and 21.03.2018 (P-6) AND FURTHER to consider and grant him his due seniority over and above his juniors and also grant retrospective promotions on the above said posts with effect from the same dates when his juniors namely Sh. Satish Kumar, Sh. Charanjit Singh etc. were given promotions on these posts with all consequential benefits as allowed to his juniors on their retrospective promotions.

Civil Writ Petition No.13178 of 2018

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a representation was made to the respondent No.2 on 06.03.2018 (P-5) but till date neither any reply to the said representation has been received nor any final order has been passed by the concerned Department. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the aforesaid representation (P-5) within some specified period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-The Director, Department of Local Government, Punjab Municipal Bhawan, Plot No.3, Sector 35-A, Chandigarh to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the representation dated 06.03.2018 (P-5) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

May 23, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**