

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CWP-13074-2018

Date of Decision: May 23, 2018

Surender Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Neeraj Sheoran, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was a candidate for the post of Shift Attendant advertised vide advertisement No.3/2016, dated 20.02.2016. The advertisement provided that 1% marks were to be allotted for each year of experience. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner was not given the weightage due on account of experience. Had the said weightage been given and added to the marks obtained by the petitioner in the written test, his name would have been included in the list of candidates called for interview.

The argument does not appeal to me. Weightage for experience has to be granted at the time of interview. Marks obtained in the written test are only for the purpose of short-listing. Learned counsel for the petitioner has not been able to convince me that the marks for experience should have been added with the marks obtained in the written test.

The writ petition has no merit and is accordingly dismissed.

May 23, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes
No