

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12968-2018

Date of Decision: May 22, 2018

Smt.Shallu Gupta and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

Corporation Bank through its Manager and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN**

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.Akshay Bhan, Sr.Advocate with
Mr.A.S.Talwar, Advocate for the petitioners.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

The petitioners filed appeal against the order of DRT-II, Chandigarh, which has been dismissed by learned Debts Recovery Appellate Tribunal vide order dated 01.05.2018 being not maintainable as the appellants apparently failed to unconditionally comply with the condition of pre-deposit. The plea taken before us is that the petitioners are willing to comply with the said condition. If that is so, we grant liberty to the petitioners to move an application before the DRAT within three days and seek revival of the appeal on compliance of the condition imposed by learned Appellate Tribunal regarding pre-deposit of the amount alongwith a prayer to reduce the amount of pre-deposit. We have no reason to doubt that in case the petitioners would comply with the condition, the ld. Appellate

Tribunal will revive their appeal.

[2] Status quo re: possession be maintained for three days to enable the petitioners to approach the DRAT.

[3] Disposed of.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

May 22, 2018
meenuss

(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |