

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH.**

**248/4**

**CWP No.18250 of 2016.  
Date of Decision: 10.09.2018.**

Punjab State Civil Supplies Corporation Limited (PUNSUP),  
Chandigarh and another

....Petitioners.

Versus

Sushil Kumar and another

....Respondents.

\*\*\*

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN**

----

**Present:** Mr. Tarun Kumar, Advocate, for  
Mr. Nitin Kaushal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

None for respondent No.1.

\*\*\*\*

**Shekher Dhawan, J.**

Present writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India is challenge to the order dated 11.11.2014 (Annexure-P1) passed by respondent No.2 as well as order dated 10.02.2016 (Annexure-P4) passed by Appellate Authority under Payment of Gratuity Act, Government of Punjab at Chandigarh.

Learned counsel representing the petitioner contended that the controversy involved in the present writ petition is squarely covered as per the decision of this Court in **CWP No.7280 of 2016 – Punjab State Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. Vs. The Appellate Authority under Payment of**

In view of the above, the present writ petition stands disposed of in terms of judgment dated 30.08.2018 passed in **CWP No.7280 of 2016–Punjab State Civil Supplies Corporation Ltd. Vs. The Appellate Authority under Payment of Gratuity Act and others'**.

**(SHEKHER DHAWAN)**  
**JUDGE**

**10.09.2018**  
*jitender*

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/ No

Whether Reportable : Yes/ No