

CWP No. 12897 of 2018

-1 -

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 12897 of 2018
DECIDED ON: MAY 21, 2018**

BALDEV SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LTD. & ANR

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Ravinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant civil writ petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ particularly in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant him the benefit of 23 years promotional increment(s) in view of Circular dated 23.04.1990 (P-1) amended from time to time, with consequential re-fixation of pay and retiral benefits, pension and also to release the arrears of revised pay and retiral benefits, pension along-with interest @ 18% p.a. from the date when

CWP No. 12897 of 2018

-2 -

it became due till its realization.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner joined the respondent-Corporation as ALM on 10.01.1986. He was promoted to the post of LM on 12.07.2011. He stood retired on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.01.2015. Aggrieved against the non-disbursal of 23 years promotional increment(s), petitioner served a legal notice upon the respondents on 14.03.2018 (P-4) but till date no conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide aforesaid legal notice, within a stipulated period

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice dated 14.03.2018 (Annexure P-4) and to decide the same in view of circular dated 23.04.1990 (Annexure P-1) amended from time to time, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, competent authorities come to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed, same be released to him within a period of next 45 days.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against any of the order(s) passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

May 21, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**