

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P. No.12896 of 2018

Date of Decision:-21.05.2018

Sanjay Kumar

....Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr Wazir Singh., Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The wife of the petitioner died on 30.4.2000. The petitioner sought benefit under the policy of compassionate appointment/Ex-gratia assistance but the same was rejected vide order dated 5.10.2004 (Annexure P-4).

The writ petition has been filed 14 years after the date of rejection and is consequently barred by delay and laches. In any case, the policy of compassionate assistance has been framed to enable the members of the family of a deceased person to tide over the emergent situation arising from the death of the bread winner of the family. After the death of the deceased employee, 18 years have elapsed and, therefore, it cannot be said that any emergent situation exists as on date entitling the petitioner to seek benefit under the policy of compassionate appointment/Ex-gratia assistance.

The writ petition is misconceived and is accordingly dismissed.

May 21, 2018

poonam

(SUDHIR MITTAL)

JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No