

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 12859 of 2018  
Date of Decision : 10.12.2018**

**Dr. Parmanand Yadav (Retd.)**

**...Petitioner**

**Versus**

**State of Haryana and others**

**...Respondents**

***CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI***

Present: Mr. Perdhuman Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ravi Dutt Sharma, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

\*\*\*

**Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)**

In the present case, the challenge is to an order of punishment dated 16.03.2018 (Annexure P-20) by which punishment of stoppage of 10% cut in pension was imposed upon the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the respondents states that as per the short reply filed, the order dated 16.03.2018 (Annexure P-20) has been withdrawn by the respondents and the petitioner has been exonerated of the said charge. The said order has been passed on 26.11.2018 (Annexure R-2).

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that no recovery was done in pursuance to the impugned order and, therefore, the present writ petition has become infructuous.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

**December 10, 2018**  
*kanchan*

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)**  
**JUDGE**

*Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No*

*Whether reportable? Yes/No*