

123

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 12855 of 2018
DECIDED ON: MAY 21, 2018**

BHAG SINGH

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Maneesh Kumar Bali, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the benefits of 'military service', rendered by him during National Emergency period, as provided under Punjab Government National Emergency (Concession) Rules, 1965 with effect from the due date with all the consequential benefits with further direction to respondents to decide the representation/Legal Notice dated 29.03.2017 (P-3).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner stood retired on attaining the age of superannuation on 30.06.2009. Petitioner served a legal notice dated 29.03.2017 (P-3) upon the respondents with regard to the grant of 'military service' rendered by him during National Emergency period, which has been forwarded to respondent No.2 on 11.04.2017 with

direction to take necessary action but till date neither any reply has been received nor any conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the afore-said legal notice (P-3) within a stipulated period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 29.03.2018 (P-3) and to decide the same in accordance with law, rules and regulations, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. With further direction to pass speaking order taking into consideration all the aspects unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice (P-3) in case the relief claimed by the petitioner is declined and in that event, petitioner shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available to him under law as well as to approach this Court.

MAY 21, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>