

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.12808 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.5.2018**

Surender Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Mr.Amit Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J.(Oral)

The petitioner is aggrieved against the order dated 15.9.2017 passed by respondent No.3 by which he has cancelled the licence of the petitioner with immediate effect while exercising his powers provided in Clause 31 of Fertilizer (Control) Order, 1985. Aggrieved against the said order, the petitioner preferred an appeal before respondent No.2 which also met with the same fate on 19.12.2017.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that FIR No.133 dated 16.10.2015 does not exist but his licence has been cancelled for the reason that he has failed to explain the non compliance of various provisions of Fertilizer (Control) Order in maintaining the record. The petitioner has also not given any reason in the petition as well as to why the impugned order in this regard be not set aside. Therefore, in view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, there is hardly any scope of interference in the petition and the same is dismissed.

May 21, 2018
Meenu

(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No