

CWP No. 12786 of 2018
DECIDED ON: MAY 21, 2018

NARINDER KUMAR AND ORS

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. M.S. Bedi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the re-revision of their pensions as per the report of pay commission who retired before 01.01.2006 in the same co-relation who retired from Punjab Government service after 01.01.2006 in the light of the decision of the Division Bench of this Court in LPA No. 352 of 2014, decided on 31.08.2016 in the case of State of Punjab and others vs. A.P. Sharma and others (P-1).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case of the petitioner is squarely covered under the guidelines laid down by Hon'ble Division Bench in LPA No. 352 of 2014 (P-1). He further submits that petitioners moved representations dated 13.01.2018 (Annexures P-2 to P-4)

respectively and they feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to consider and decide their representations (P-2 to P-4) within some time bound manner.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in their respective representations dated 13.01.2018 (P-2 to P-4) and to decide the same in accordance with law especially in the light of the decision of the Hon'ble Division Bench of this Court in LPA No. 352 of 2014, decided on 31.08.2016 in the case of **State of Punjab and others vs. A.P. Sharma and others** (P-1), within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case the authority comes to the conclusion that petitioners are entitled to the relief claimed through the instant petition, to calculate and release the same within next 45 days.

4. However, in case petitioners are still aggrieved by any of the order passed by the afore-said authority, they shall be at liberty to have recourse the other remedies available to them under law including to approach this Court.

MAY 21, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>