

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12776-2018

Date of Decision: July 24, 2018

Om Parkash and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA**

Present: Mr.Rakesh Nehra, Advocate for the petitioners.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.(ORAL)

As per Office report, respondent Nos.1 and 3 have been served,
however, no one appears on their behalf.

[2] The short relief sought in the instant writ petition is that the petitioners fall within the category of 'Oustees' and are entitled to allotment of a residential plot under the 'Oustees Policy' read with Full Bench judgment of this Court in CWP No.22252 of 2016, decided on 22.11.2017 (**Rajiv Manchanda and others vs HUDA, Panchkula and others**).

[3] The above-stated relief has been sought on the premise that the land of the petitioners measuring 4K 12 M situated within the revenue estate of village Bahadurgarh, District Jhajjar, fully described in para 2 of the writ petition was acquired by State of Haryana vide Award dated 24.04.1998. It is further averred that somewhat similar controversy has been resolved by this Court in CWP-11670-2017 (**Sarita vs State of Haryana and others**)

[4] The instant writ petition is accordingly disposed of in the same terms and let petitioners claim be also decided in accordance with para 4 of the above-cited order.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

July 24, 2018
meenuss

**(SUDIP AHLUWALIA)
JUDGE**

- | | |
|---|---------------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |