

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

203

Civil Writ Petition No.17984 of 2016

Date of Decision: August 3rd, 2018

S.C. Upadhyay

...Petitioner

Versus

The Central Information Commission, New Delhi and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Pardeep Kumar Rapria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Additional Solicitor General of India
with Ms. Bhavna Datta, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Ms. Kulwant Kaur Kahlon, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court alleging primarily non-compliance of the order dated 25.08.2015 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Central Information Commission.

2. What has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner has not been supplied the copy of the order passed by the Central Administrative Tribunal which was required to be supplied to the petitioner as per the order passed by the Central Information Commission on 25.08.2015 (Annexure P-4). He contends that only after filing of the writ petition, copy of the order has been appended along with the reply and, therefore, there has been delay on the part of the respondents in complying with the order passed by the Central Information Commission.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner is unable to inform the Court that under which provisions of the statute, on some delay for non-compliance of the order of the Central Information Commission, some statutory compensation or penalty can be imposed upon the Central Information Commission.

4. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the present case, this Court does not find any further interference to be called for in exercise of its extra ordinary writ jurisdiction.

5. The writ petition stands dismissed.

August 3rd, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No