

113

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12675-2018

Date of decision-20.08.2018

Kulwant Singh and others

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. P.K.Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioners.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J.

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent(s) to deduct the Contributory Provident Fund of the petitioners along with interest from the date of their initial appointment.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that case of the petitioners is covered by judgments passed by this Court in CWP-11553-2004, titled as “**Samdhershi Kumar Bedi and others Vs. State of Punjab and another**” decided on 27.09.2005 (Annexure P-6); CWP-9516-2014, titled as “**Rajiv Dhawan and others Vs. State of Punjab and others**” decided on 16.05.2014 (Annexure P-10) and CWP-5302-2017, titled as “**Hardip Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others**” decided on 16.03.2017 (Annexure P-12). Further, he states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.1-Secretary, Department of Education, Civil Secretariat, Punjab, Chandigarh to consider

and decide the legal notice dated 17.02.2018 (Annexure P-9).

Heard.

In view of the above, without advertng to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1- Secretary, Department of Education, Civil Secretariat, Punjab, Chandigarh to consider and decide the legal notice dated 17.02.2018 (Annexure P-9) in the light of Annexures P-6, P-10 and P-12 within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

20.08.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No