

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.116

Civil Writ Petition No.12606 of 2018
DECIDED ON: May 18, 2018

BALWANT SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

**UTTAR HARYANA BIJLI VITRAN NIGAM LIMITED AND
OTHERS**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Munish Mittal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to grant him 3rd ACP along with interest @ 18% per annum.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a legal notice dated 16.01.2017 (P-2) was made to the respondents but till date neither any reply to the said legal notice has been received nor any final order has been passed by the concerned Department. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the aforesaid legal notice (P-2) within some specified period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated

Civil Writ Petition No.12606 of 2018

16.01.2017 (P-2) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order as per rules and instructions issued by the Government from time to time, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

May 18, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**