

CWP-12434-2018

-1-

**210-A IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
 AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12434-2018

Date of decision-24.07.2018

Vikas Soni

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Anoop Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

 Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl.AG, Punjab.

 Mr. S.S.Bedi, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 and 3.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (Oral)

 This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the payment and security of ₹3,17,844/- qua the various works assigned to the petitioner in Division No.6, District Bathinda executed by the petitioner along with interest @ 12% per annum.

 Though notice was issued, however, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he would be satisfied at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.3-Divisional Engineer, Lining Division No.6, Punjab Water Resources Management & Development Corporation Ltd.Rampura Phool, District Bathinda to consider and decide the legal notice dated 15.04.2018 (Annexure P-2).

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3- Divisional Engineer, Lining Division No.6, Punjab Water Resources Management & Development Corporation Ltd.Rampura Phool, District Bathinda to consider and decide the legal notice dated 15.04.2018 (Annexure P-2) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him,, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to him or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

24.07.2018

vanita

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No