

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.138

CWP-12266-2018

Date of decision : 15.05.2018

Rajeev and others

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Chanderhas Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioners.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

It is inter alia contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that they have sought permission to withdraw their resignations from the Transport Department and to be re-appointed on the regular post of Conductor. The Advocate General, Haryana, has also given an opinion that this course is permissible in law subject to an undertaking given by the petitioners. An application/representation dated 12.06.2017 (Annexure P-4) has been submitted in this regard and the same has also been recommended by the Director General State Transport. Yet, no action has been taken by the State Government till date.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, AAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State. Let four copies of the petition be supplied to him during the course of the day.

In view of the nature of the order being passed, there is no necessity to seek written statement, at this stage.

The petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.3 to objectively consider the application / representation dated 12.06.2017

(Annexure P-4) and pass a speaking order thereupon, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, the request of the petitioners is approved, suitable recommendations be made to the Government so that the petitioners are repatriated at an early date.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

15.05.2018

Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes/ No