

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

134

CWP-12254-2018

Date of Decision: May 15, 2018

Sunita Brar

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Lalit Pardhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the writ petition itself may be treated as representation and respondent No.2 be directed to pass speaking order thereupon.

The prayer is reasonable and is allowed.

This writ petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2, to consider the same as a representation and pass a speaking order thereupon, within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Meanwhile, operation of impugned order dated 11.05.2018 (Annexure P-10) shall remain stayed.

May 15, 2018

sonia arora

**[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Yes

Whether Reportable :

No