

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 13933 of 2017 (O&M)
DATE OF DECISION : 14.11.2018**

Naveen Singh

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. AG, Haryana.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking quashing of order dated 16.06.2017 (Annexure P-4), whereby respondent No.2 has not allowed the petitioner to participate in the interview for the post of Male Constable against advertisement No.8 of 2015.

In the written statement filed by respondent No.2, it has been clarified that pursuant to the advertisement published on 19.07.2015 against Cat. No.1, 5000 posts of Male Constable (General Duty) General Category 1650, the petitioner applied against the General Category and was allotted roll No. 1001137052. He was called for the Physical Screening Test (PST) and was not declared qualified. Thereafter the petitioner along with others approached this Court by way of writ petition and vide order dated 08.05.2017 passed in LPA No.1590 of 2017

titled as "Vivek Singh and others v. State of Haryana", allowed him to participate in the written examination. Pursuant to order dated 08.05.2017, the Commission issued notice dated 26.05.2017 (Annexure R-2/1) to the candidates for conducting Knowledge Test (KT) on 08.06.2017 of all the appellants in that LPA. Thereafter, vide Resolution dated 16.06.2017 (Annexure R-2/2), the Commission unanimously decided to award qualifying 10 marks in the PST to all the candidates who appeared in the KT. The petitioner obtained 18.75 marks in KT out of 60 marks.

The Commission then issued notice dated 16.06.2017 (Annexure R-2/3) to the candidates who appeared in the written examination on 08.06.2017 and provisionally called all the candidates for scrutiny of documents and PMT on 19.06.2017 and interview-cum-personality test on 20.06.2017. The petitioner appeared in the written examination and scored 18.75 marks. He remained absent for PMT on 19.06.2017. The cut-off marks out of 90, for interview-cum-personality test under General Category was 54.90. The petitioner scored 28.75 marks (PST 10/15, KT 18.75/60 marks). Even if 15 marks out of 15 for PMT is granted to the petitioner, even then his total comes to 43.75 out of 90 which is less than the cut-off for interview-cum-personality test. The final result has been declared on 23.06.2017.

Perusal of the written statement shows that even if 15 marks for PMT are granted to the petitioner even then his total comes out to be 43.75 out of 90 which is less than the cut-off for

interview-cum-personality test.

There is no merit in the writ petition. The same is dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

NOVEMBER 14, 2018
shalini

Whether speaking/reasoned :	yes/no
Whether reportable :	yes/no