

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.13893 of 2017
Date of decision: 14.12.2018**

Desh Raj

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM:HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, A.A.G., Haryana.

Ritu Bahri, J.

Petitioner applied for the post of Constable (Male) pursuant to the advertisement dated 19.07.2015 (Annexure P-1).

While issuing notice of motion on 20.06.2017, it was observed that the candidates, who had secured 48.90 marks had been called for interview, whereas the petitioner had got 52 marks. The petitioner was ordered to be provisionally considered, but his result was to be kept in a sealed cover.

As per reply filed by Haryana Staff Selection Commission-respondent No.2, the petitioner has obtained 50.4 marks in PST, KT and PMT. The last shortlisted candidate in BC-A category has secured 58.2 marks out of 90 marks. Meaning thereby, the petitioner has obtained less marks than the last shortlisted candidate in the BC-A category. Hence, he was rightly not called for interview for the above said post.

In view of the above fact, no case for interference in the selection

process is made out.

Resultantly, the present petition is dismissed. The respondent-commission will inform the petitioner about the aforesaid result, which has been kept in a sealed cover. The petitioner is at liberty to get information with regard to the waiting list, if so advised.

14.12.2018
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No