

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

123

CWP-11925-2018

Date of Decision: May 11, 2018

Sushma Rani

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. R.K. Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that after declaration of the result of written test, the answer key was uploaded. Certain errors were found therein and therefore, the petitioner submitted her objections, which were registered as complaint No.82 and 8723, but no action has been taken thereupon either rejecting or accepting the same. The petitioner has secured 89 marks in the written test and in case her objections are allowed, she would cross the cut off marks of 90 and would be eligible for issuance of H.T.E.T. certificate.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Additional Advocate General, accepts notice on behalf of respondents. Let five copies of complete paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day. No written statement is required to be filed, in view of the nature of order being passed.

The writ petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.4 to take appropriate decision upon the objections of the petitioner, within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

In case the objections are accepted, the result of the petitioner be revised. In the event of rejection of the objections, filed by the petitioner, she would be at liberty to challenge the same.

Writ petition stands disposed of.

May 11, 2018
sonia arora

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned -	Yes
Whether reportable-	No