

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11883 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 06.08.2018

Ravinder Kumar & Ors.

...Petitioners

VS.

State of Haryana & Ors.

... Respondents

**Coram : Hon'ble Mr.Justice Surya Kant
Hon'ble Mr.Justice Sudip Ahluwalia**

Present: Mr. Vibhor Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner

SURYA KANT J. (Oral)

(1) The land owned by grandfather of the petitioners fully described in para 2 of the writ petition was partly acquired by the State of Haryana vide notification dated 30.05.2005 and 22.05.2006 issued under Section 4&6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, respectively, followed by an award. The land was situated within the Revenue Estate of village Bhatoli, Tehsil Jagadhari, District Yamuna Nagar. It is averred that a part of the left-out land has fallen to the petitioners' share as per the family settlement. The allegation in the instant writ petition is that there is no ingress or egress to the left-out land and in the absence of any public passage, the petitioners are facing extreme hardship to utilize the unacquired land. They have filed an application before the District Town Planner in this regard but finding no response thereto, the instant writ petition has been filed.

(2) Having heard learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any views on merits of their claim, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2&3 to consider the above-mentioned claim of the petitioners and take an appropriate decision as per law. The needful shall be done within three months from the date of receipt of

certified copy of this order. If the petitioners are still dissatisfied, they may approach the Revenue Court in accordance with law.

(3) Ordered accordingly.

(Surya Kant)
Judge

06.08.2018
vishal shonkar

(Sudip Ahluwalia)
Judge

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned?*
2. *Whether reportable?*

Yes
No