

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-(PIL)-11842-2018 (O&M)
Date of decision:- 17.10.2018

Sumeet Puri
...Petitioner
Versus
Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula and
others
...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present:- None.
* * * * *
KRISHNA MURARI, C.J. (ORAL)

This is a Public Interest Litigation. A perusal of the order sheet goes to show that whenever the matter was listed it had been adjourned either on the request made by the petitioner, who is appearing in person, or on a written request for adjournment circulated by him. It appears that the petitioner appearing in person is not interested in prosecuting the petition with due diligence.

2. The petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

17.10.2018
Amogh

whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
whether reportable	Yes/No