

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP no.11829 of 2018 (O&M)**

**Date of Decision :10.05.2018**

Lakhveer Singh and another

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER  
HON'BLE MR JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present : Mr. Raman Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioner(s)

**MAHESH GROVER, J.(ORAL)**

Prima facie we are unable to understand the grievance of the petitioners as the material is deficient. There is no site plan on record to enable us to understand whether the Panchayat carved out is contiguous to the existing one or not, even though an argument has been raised. However, learned counsel for the petitioner states the he will be satisfied if his representation (Annexure P-5) is decided.

In view of above, we dispose of the instant petition, without commenting on the merits of the case, with a direction to the respondents to consider and decide representation (Annexure P-5), by passing a speaking order, as expeditiously as possible.

**(Mahesh Grover)**  
**Judge**

10.05.2018

rekha

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No

**(Rajbir Sehrawat)**  
**Judge**