

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.11826 of 2018
Date of Decision: 27.08.2018

Nichhater Singh and anotherPetitioners

Vs.

Haryana Staff Selection CommissionRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present:- Mr.Jasbir Mor, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Ms.Nidhi Garg, AAG Haryana.

Mr.Suresh Kumar Kaushik, Advocate, for
respondents No.2 to 5.

RITU BAHRI, J. (ORAL)

CM No.12185 of 2018

Application is allowed.

Applicants are ordered to be impleaded as respondents No.2 to
5. Amended memo of parties is taken on record.

CWP N.11826 of 2018

Petitioners are seeking directions to the respondent/Commission to award grace marks for setting wrong answer keys and for the printing errors in the question papers by deciding the legal notice dated 27.03.2008 (Annexure P-28), in accordance with law, before finalizing the selection process to the post of TGT Physical Education in pursuance to the advertisement No.3/2015 dated 28.06.2015 (Annexure P-3).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the present writ petition be disposed of in terms of the order passed in the case of Vikas Vs. Haryana Staff Selection Commission passed in CWP No.6357 of 2018 on 20.03.2018. A perusal of the order shows that petitioner had competed for selection to the post of TGT (Physical Education) in the EBPB Category. The results of the written examination were pronounced by the respondent on 20.04.2017. Subsequently, the Commission uploaded the answer key on its website, and , objections were invited from the candidates thereto. The

petitioner purports to have submitted his objections, dated January 26, 2018 (Annexure P-13), online, for their was misprinting in question Nos.44 and 45 of Set D Post consideration of the objections preferred by the candidates, a revised answer key of set D was uploaded by the Commission. The petitioner alleged to have filed objections even to the revised answer key. This writ petition was disposed of as per statement made by learned counsel for the respondent that claim/grievance of the petitioner as also his objections shall be examined and the respondents shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, before the interviews are held.

In the present case, objections of the petitioners (Annexure P-10 Colly) and legal notice dated 27.03.2018 (Annexure P-28) are pending with the respondent/Commission.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of by giving directions to the respondent/Commission to examine the objections(Annexure P-10 Colly) and legal notice (Annexure P-28) of the petitioners and shall pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, before the interviews are held.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

27.08.2018
anil

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No