

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

* * * * *

CWP No. 17115 of 2016

Date of decision : 07 December, 2018

* * * * *

Jai Parkash

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

* * * * *

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

* * * * *

Present: Mr. Pardeep Sehrawat, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Gaurav Jindal, AAG, Haryana.

Ms. Amrita Nagpal, Advocate for
Mr. Lokesh Sinhal, Advocate for respondent no.7.

Mr. Arvind Seth, Advocate for respondent no.8.

* * * * *

RITU BAHRI, J.

The petitioner is seeking quashing of the order dated 27.11.2013 (Annexure P-3A) whereby respondent no.8-Anil Kumar Bhardwaj had been posted on deputation as a Deputy Director (Legal), in Development and Panchayat Department.

As per the reply filed on behalf of respondents no. 2 and 6, vide order dated 15.11.2016 (Annexure R-2/1), respondent no.8 stands

repatriated from the said department and vide order dated 28.11.2016 (Annexure R-2/2) the State Government has posted respondent no.3 in the office of the Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Haryana, Revenue and Disaster Management Department.

A further prayer has been made by the petitioner through the present writ petition to promote him to the post of Deputy Director (Legal) in Development and Panchayat Department, Haryana.

Counsel for the respondent has informed the Court that the petitioner has retired on 31.10.2016 and it was vide order dated 15.11.2016 (Annexure R-2/1) when Anil Kumar Bhardwaj has been repatriated. Hence no case for promotion of the petitioner to the post of Deputy Director Panchayat (Legal) in Development and Panchayat Department, Haryana is made out.

Both the prayers of the petitioner have been rendered infructuous. Hence the present petition is dismissed as having become infructuous.

07 December, 2018

ritu

(**RITU BAHRI**)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether reportable

No