

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-18059-2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 06.03.2018**

JITENDER DALAL

....Petitioner.

Versus

HARYANA URBAN DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY AND ORS.

...Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL**

Present: Mr. Vikramjeet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Aman Pal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has filed the petition for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the order dated 18.05.2015 communicated to the Administrator, HUDA by mail dated 17.06.2015 (Annexure P-6) vide which the allotment of plots were made under oustees category in Sector 6, Jhajjar.

2. The petitioner claiming himself to be son-in-law of Ramkishan, whose land was acquired, has filed this writ petition, inter alia, seeking allotment of a plot under oustee category in respect of acquisition of land for Sector 6, Jhajjar.

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-18059-2015 (O&M)

-2-

3. At the outset, on a query being put to learned counsel for the petitioner as to whether any land of the petitioner was acquired and how the petitioner has locus standi to file the writ petition, learned counsel prayed that he may be allowed to withdraw the writ petition as well as CM No.10976 of 2017 with liberty to Ramkishan, the land owner, whose land was acquired and is entitled for a plot under the oustee category, to avail the appropriate remedy available to him, in accordance with law.

4. Dismissed as withdrawn.

5. However, it is clarified that this order shall not come in the way of Ramkishan who can take recourse to the remedies as may be available to him, in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

06.03.2018

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : YES/NO

Whether reportable : YES/NO