

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-1178-2018

Date of Decision: April 24, 2018

Ashish Arora

.....Petitioner

Versus

Debt Recovery Tribunal-II, Sector 17-B, Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.V.K.Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajiv Sharma, Advocate for the respondents.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

The petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 01.12.2017 passed by Debts Recovery Tribunal-II, Chandigarh (for brevity,'the Tribunal'), whereby his defence was closed on the ground that they are trying to delay the process of recovery.

[2] Respondent No.2-Bank has filed its reply. We have heard learned counsel for the parties. The case is at the initial stage and it appears that interest of the petitioner would be adequately met if one more opportunity is granted to him to file the written statement. The case is now listed before the Tribunal on 10.09.2018. Counsel for the Bank fairly agrees to hand over a copy of the OA to counsel for the petitioner before

15.05.2018. The petitioner is granted last opportunity to file written statement on or before 14.08.2018 subject to cost of ₹5000/- to be paid to the Bank on the date fixed before the Tribunal.

[3] Disposed of.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

April 24, 2018
meenuss

**(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |