

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.134

Civil Writ Petition No.11779 of 2018
DECIDED ON: May 10, 2018

SOHAN SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

**PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND
OTHERS**

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Anupam Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant the benefit of advance promotional benefits after completion of 23 years service, which was granted to one of their colleagues Sh. Sukhdev Singh and other similarly situated employees, who filed CWP No.8589 of 2016, decided on 05.05.2016 and CWP No.20139 of 2015, decided on 29.02.2016 and further CWP No. 2457 of 2018, decided on 05.02.2018.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits though representation dated 12.02.2018 (P-2) was moved to the respondents but till date neither any response has been received nor

Civil Writ Petition No.11779 of 2018

any conscious decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide the aforesaid representation (P-2), within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent(s) to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the representation dated 12.02.2018 (P-2) and to decide the same in accordance with law, rules and instructions as well as in the light of judgments referred to above in para no.1 of this order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, competent authorities come to the conclusion that petitioner is entitled to the relief(s) claimed, it shall stand restricted to 38 months in view of Full Bench judgment of this Court in case *“Saroj Kumari vs. State of Punjab & ors., 1998 (3) SCT 664”*.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

May 10, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**