

236

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-13479-2017(O&M)
Date of decision-27.04.2018**

Ranjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Arjun Veer Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amit Mehta, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. R.K. Khosla, Sr. Advocate,
with Mr. Sarvesh Malik, Advocate,
for respondent Nos.2 to 5.

JITENDRA CHAUHAN, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for the issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the claim/representations of the petitioner dated 20.09.2006 and 22.08.2013 (Annexures P-1 and P-3).

Notice of motion was issued by this Court vide order dated 28.09.2017. Till date, written statement on behalf of the respondents has not been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Chief

Administrator, Punjab Urban Development Authority, Mohali, Punjab to consider and decide the application/representation dated 22.08.2013 (Annexure P-3).

Heard.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Chief Administrator, Punjab Urban Development Authority, Mohali, Punjab to consider and decide the application/representation dated 22.08.2013 (Annexure P-3) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible to her or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

27.04.2018
atulsethi

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	Noc