

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.128

**Civil Writ Petition No.11741 of 2018**  
**DECIDED ON: May 10, 2018**

**RAGHUNATH SINGH**

**..PETITIONER**

**VERSUS**

**PUNJAB STATE POWER CORPORATION LIMITED AND  
OTHERS**

**...RESPONDENTS**

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

Present: Mr. Ashish Grover, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

**JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)**

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought an issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to refix pay and consequently revised pension and other pensionary benefits by granting benefit of time bound promotional scale on completion of 9/16/23 years of service as per circular dated 23.04.1990 (P-1) and to include period of work charge service followed by regular service towards pension and pensionary benefits as per Full Bench decision of this Court in case *Kesar Chand vs. State of Punjab & ors., 1988(5) SLR 27* and *Iqbal Singh vs. Punjab State Electricity Board, 2007 (3) SLR 722 DB* along with arrears with interest @ 18%.

**Civil Writ Petition No.11741 of 2018**

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though legal notice dated 22.01.2018 (P-2) was made to the respondents but till date no final decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents particularly respondent No.2 to decide the aforesaid legal notice (P-2), within some specified period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents particularly respondent No.2 – Additional Superintending Engineer, (P&M Division), Punjab State Power Corporation Limited, Tarn Taran, to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 22.01.2018 (P-2) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order, as per the judgments referred in para no.1 of this order, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

**May 10, 2018**  
*Ankur*

**(JASPAL SINGH)**  
**JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned**  
**Whether reportable**

**Yes**  
**Yes/No**