

Pawan

.....Petitioner

versus

Pt. B.D. Sharma University of Health Sciences, Rohtak

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Saurabh Dalal, Advocate
for the petitioner

-.-

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

It is *inter alia* contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner was a candidate for the post of Medical Record Clerk in the General Category. He was selected and appointed but he was not permitted to continue on account of pendency of the litigation in this Court. Now that litigation has come to an end and yet the petitioner has not been reinstated. Representation dated 30.04.2018 (Annexure P-9) has been made in this regard but no action has been taken thereupon.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to the respondent to consider representation dated 30.04.2018 (Annexure P-9) objectively and pass a speaking order thereon within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

May 10, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No**Whether Reportable : Yes/No**