

Prem Pal

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present:- Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate
for the petitioner

-.-

Sudhir Mittal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner was a candidate for the post of Operation Theatre Assistant advertised by the Haryana Staff Selection Commission (respondent No.2) vide advertisement No. 1/2015 dated 19.06.2015. He qualified in the written test but did not find his name in the final list of selected candidates. After the result of the selected candidates was uploaded on the site, the petitioner has filed this petition challenging the selection primarily on the ground of malafides.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the selection committee was aware of the number of marks obtained in the written test by the candidates appearing in the interview. It sat with a pre-determined mind to push up a chosen view who had secured less marks in the written test by giving them higher marks in the interview. A chart in this regard has been included in the writ petition.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner as well as having examined the chart prepared by him, I am not satisfied that the allegation of malafides stands substantiated. Apart from that, learned counsel for the petitioner raised the issue regarding non-disclosure of selection criteria at the time of issuance

of advertisement but he fairly conceded that the same would not result in declaring the selection invalid.

In view of the above, there is no merit in the petition and the same is accordingly dismissed.

May 10, 2018

reena

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No