

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1338-2017

Date of Decision: January 10, 2018

State Bank of Patiala

.....Petitioner

Versus

District Magistrate-cum-Deputy Commissioner, Gurgaon, Haryana and
others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEKHER DHAWAN**

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.A.K.Ahuja, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.Ankur Mittal, Addl.AG, Haryana.
Mr.D.C.Dhauula, Advocate for respondent No.3.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-Bank states that the civil suit filed by respondent No.3 before the Civil Court at Gurugram against the Bank is at the defence evidence stage and the issues raised in the instant case are likely to be adjudicated in the said suit also. Keeping in view the stage of the civil suit proceedings, learned counsel for the petitioner-Bank seeks to withdraw the writ petition with liberty to raise all the pleas before the Civil Court.

Ordered accordingly.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

January 10, 2018
meenuss

**(SHEKHER DHAWAN)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |