

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.114

Civil Writ Petition No.11645 of 2018  
DECIDED ON: May 16, 2018

BALBIR SINGH

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Baljeet Singh Sidhu, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

\*\*\*\*\*

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to count the service rendered by him on the post of SPOs as qualifying service for the purpose of seniority for the post of Constable and all other consequential benefits in view of the fact that the same benefit already stands granted to similarly situated person by this Court in CR No.2295 of 2010, captioned as *Constable Rajinder Kumar vs. State of Punjab & ors.*, decided on 06.09.2010 (P-5) AND FURTHER to grant the benefits of appointment as Constable along with all service benefits from the date of petitioner completed six months service as SPO as per standing order/Govt. Instructions (P-2 & P-3).

**Civil Writ Petition No.11645 of 2018**

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a legal notice dated 15.01.2018 (P-7) was made to the respondents but till date no conscious decision has been taken by the concerned authorities. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide the legal notice (P-7) within stipulated period.

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 15.01.2018 (P-7) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order particularly in light of the judgment referred to above in para no.1 of this order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

**May 16, 2018**

*Ankur*

**(JASPAL SINGH)  
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned  
Whether reportable**

**Yes  
Yes/No**