

**CWP No. 11621 of 2018
DECIDED ON: JULY 11, 2018**

SUKHDEV SINGH SANDHU

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Harit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to release his retiral benefits as claimed in Annexure P-2.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though a legal notice dated 04.09.2017 (P-2) was duly served upon the respondents but despite the fact that a period of more than six months has been expired but till date neither any reply to the said notice has been received nor any final order has been passed by the respondents.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents to decide his legal notice dated 04.09.2017 (P-2) in a time bound manner.

4. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 04.09.2017 (P-2) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid notice within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law including to approach this Court.

JULY 11, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>