

**CWP No. 11619 of 2018
DECIDED ON: MAY 09, 2018**

RAM KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Minderjeet Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents to re-fix his seniority and also to pay the benefits at par with respondent No.4 who has appointed in the same selection and junior to him and has got notional seniority.

2. The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that petitioner moved various representations including latest representation dated 13.07.2017 (P-9) to the respondent No.2 for the grant of notional seniority and to pay the benefits at par his junior employee and copies thereof, were sent to the other officers. The District Education Officer, Fatehabad has forwarded the case of the petitioner to Director Secondary Education, Haryana vide order dated 14.07.2017 with recommendation to provide service benefit at par with

his junior but despite the fact that a period of more than eight months is going to elapsed, no conscious decision has been taken. At this juncture, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the representation dated 13.07.2017 (P-9) in a time bound manner.

3. In the light of afore-said facts and circumstances but without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 13.07.2017 (P-9) and to take a conscious decision by passing a speaking order considering all the facts narrated in the aforesaid representation within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available to him under law including to approach this Court.

MAY 09, 2018
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>