

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.11603 OF 2018
DECIDED ON: MAY 09, 2018

GURDARSHAN SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

PUNJAB STATE POWER CORP. LTD.
AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Brijesh Nandan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to grant the benefit of promotional increment(s) in view of circular dated 23.04.1990 (P-1) and especially in view of judgments passed by this Court in CWP No. 19234 of 2015 (P-8), CWP No. 20139 of 2015 (P-9), CWP No.10689-2016 (P-11) and CWP-17313-2017 (Annexure P-13) as well as in view of office orders (P-5 to P-7) passed by the respondent-corporation by which the benefit of 23 years promotional increment has already been granted along with interest @ 18% p.a.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner submits petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent(s) to decide legal notice dated 10.12.2016 (Annexure P-12), within a

stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1- Chairman-cum-Managing Director, Punjab State Power Corporation Ltd, The Mall, Patiala to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in legal notice dated 10.12.2016 (Annexure P-12) and to decide the same as per circular dated 23.04.1990 (Annexure P-1) and especially in view of judgment passed by this Court in CWP No. 19234 of 2015, titled as “***Mahinder Singh v. Punjab State Power Corp. Ltd. and ors***”, decided on 23.12.2015 (P-8), CWP-20139-2015, captioned as “***Chiman Singh v. Punjab State power Corporation Ltd. and ors***”, decided on 29.02.2016 (Annexure P-9), CWP No.10689-2016 (Annexure P-11) and CWP-17313-2017 (Annexure P-13) as well as in view of office orders (Annexures P-5 to P-7), within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved against the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to the other remedies as well as to approach this Court.

MAY 09, 2018
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned ***Yes***

Whether reportable ***Yes/No***