

122 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-11400-2018
Date of decision: 07.05.2018

Ved Parkash Petitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and another Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present : Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Avneesh Jhingan, J.

The petitioner-Ved Parkash, Sarpanch Gram Panchayat Tigra, Block Ateli Nangal, District Mahendergarh, has filed the present writ petition for direction to respondent No.1 to entertain the appeal as well as the stay application.

The petitioner was removed from the post of *Sarpanch* vide order dated 24.04.2018. The said order is appealable. The appeal along with stay application against the said order was filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the said appeal was presented before respondent No.1 but the same was not accepted and ultimately appeal was filed in the office on 04.05.2018. The grievance is that stay application is not being heard.

From the record, it is not evident that any application or request has been made to respondent No.1 for early hearing of the stay application. There is no doubt that in case, a request is made to respondent No.1 for early hearing of the stay application, respondent No.1 will deal with the same expeditiously and in accordance with law.

The writ petition is disposed of.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

07.05.2018
anju

1. Whether the order is speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether the order is reportable : Yes/No