

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.129

Civil Writ Petition No.11334 of 2018
DECIDED ON: May 07, 2018

ASHOK KUMAR

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. G.P. Vashisht, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus directing the official respondents to calculate the pensionary benefits with 50 half years after counting the 5 years benefits given to the handicapped vide Notification No.302(3)USF(C)83/66 dated 01.08.1984, Rule 4.2, CSR, Vol-II, Rule 4.2 (4) (P-2) along with all consequential benefits.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that though representation dated 20.02.2018 (P-4) including legal notice dated 15.03.2018 (P-5) were made to the respondents but till date no final decision has been taken. He further submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondents No.2 & 3 to decide the aforesaid legal notice (P-5) within a stipulated period.

Civil Writ Petition No.11334 of 2018

3. Without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondents No.2 & 3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 15.03.2018 (P-5) and to take a conscious decision in accordance with rules and regulations issued by the Government from time to time, within a period of four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. However, if petitioner still feels aggrieved by any of the orders passed by the aforesaid authority, he shall be at liberty to have recourse to other remedies available under law as well as to approach this Court.

May 07, 2018

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No