

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.13060 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 18.04.2018

Parmal Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. D.S. Matya, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Jitendra Chauhan, J. (Oral)

By way of the present petition, the petitioner seeks issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the orders dated 28.07.2016 and 25.04.2017 (Annexure P-1 and P-2) respectively vide which the petitioner was not granted his arrears of the salary and the interest was granted to him @ 6% p.a. only w.e.f. 02.09.2015 till 03.05.2016 on the amount payable till date.

Learned counsel states that at this stage, he will be satisfied in case respondent No.2-Managing Director, Markfed, Sector 35-B, Chandigarh is directed to consider and decide the representation/claim dated 09.09.2016 (Annexure P-5) in a time bound manner.

Heard.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the representation/claim dated 09.09.2016

(Annexure P-5) within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to him, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to him, within a period of three months thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

18.04.2018

sumit.k

(JITENDRA CHAUHAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No